Interview Summary	Application No. 09/531,735	Applicant	ant(s) <b>Levin et al.</b>	
	Examiner Arpád Fábián Kovács		Group Art Unit 3671  /6	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):			
(1) <u>Árpád Fábián Kovács</u>	(3)			<del></del>
(2) Jerome R Smith	(4)			
Date of Interview Apr 4, 2002	·			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ application		epresentati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) X Yes blade & stub assembly; instruction guide	e)□ No. If yes, b	orief descrip	otion:	
Claim(s) discussed: 6-13				
Identification of prior art discussed:  Wilcox (4882896)				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reach	hed. g) X  was not re	ached. h)	□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the generally other comments:	eral nature of what wa	s agreed to	if an agreement w	as reached, or
Claims 1-5 will be restricted out; will consider amending			t the limitation "a bi	ade body
including cutting portions and a platform intermediate	e the cutting portion	'S".		
				-
		•		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where				

available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) 

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.